

EFFICIENCY OF SELECTION FOR MICROMUTATIONS IN  $M_2$  GENERATION IN PEAS

Singh, N.P. and B. Sharma

Division of Genetics  
Indian Agric. Res. Institute, New Delhi, India

Comparative studies of selection in  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  generations have revealed that in many cases the two populations may not differ in response to selection (4,5). On the other hand, some experiments have demonstrated that selection in  $M_3$  is more effective than in  $M_2$  (1,2). This was, most probably, because the material already selected in  $M_2$  was confirmed with higher probability in subsequent generations (3). Even if the material selected in  $M_3$  or later generations has a higher probability of becoming fixed as promising strains, there is no evidence to suggest that the frequency of promising mutations per se is higher in  $M_3$  than in  $M_2$ . It can be argued that the variability manifested in  $M_3$  could not have arisen afresh without causing any impact on the  $M_2$  population. Therefore in the present study selection was initiated in the  $M_2$  generation on the basis of higher CV (variability) and desired shift in mean than the highest values in these parameters in the control (untreated population) for five polygenic traits (days to flowering, pods/plant, seeds/pod, 100-seed weight and yield/plant). The criterion for confirmation of the promising families in  $M_3$  was the shift in mean values in the desired direction. The selection efficiency in  $M_2$  generation, calculated on the basis of this criterion, is presented in Tables 1 and 2.

As can be seen from Table 2, 76.2-79.8% of  $M_2$  selections were confirmed as promising in the  $M_3$  generation. This suggests that selection in  $M_2$  was very effective and dependable. Some characters showed an increase in variance with the advance in generation to  $M_3$ , which is confirmed by the fact that a further 22.2-24.8% of promising progenies were identified in  $M_3$ . Nevertheless, early generation selection is of great help in reducing the volume of work, in saving time, and in isolation of confirmed mutations. As can be seen from Table 2, the contribution of the  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  generations to total selections arising from the different treatments was 75.2-77.8% and 22.2-24.8%, respectively. Thus, although new mutated progenies (about one-fourth of total) were added in the  $M_3$  generation (progenies which were either not identified in  $M_2$  or were new additions as a result of release of additional variability), the volume of material has to be increased very substantially in order to recover this smaller additional variability of a promising nature.

The overall analysis of the results obtained reveals that there is tremendous possibility to improve polygenic characters through induced mutagenesis by employing an efficient selection technique. It is evident that rigorous selection can help identify promising variants from the mutagenized populations in the first segregating ( $M_2$ ) generation. These variants can simultaneously be confirmed and their potential tested in the  $M_3$  generation.

1. Jana, M.K. and K. Roy. 1973. Radiation Bot. 13:245-257.
2. Palezona, D.L. 1966. Proc. V. Yugoslav Symp. on Research in Wheat 12:255-261.

3. Ravi, J.L. and Manocha and Avtar Singh. 1979. Proc. BARC Symp. on Role of Induced Mutations in Crop Improvement, Hyderabad, pp. 414-419.
4. Scossiroli, R.E. 1968. Mutations in Plant Breeding II. IAEA, Vienna, pp. 205-217.
5. Sharma, S.K. 1977. Ph.D. Thesis, IARI, New Delhi.

Table 1. Selection efficiency for micromutations in M<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>3</sub> generations (pooled over five traits).

Mutagen	M <sub>2</sub> generation		M <sub>3</sub> generation	
	Total progenies	Promising selections (%)	Total progenies	Promising selections (%)
Gamma rays	1200	16.6	786	30.3
EI	1200	21.2	786	35.9
NEU	1200	24.5	842	38.4

Table 2. Nature of M<sub>2</sub> selections and their contribution to total micromutations (pooled over five traits).

Mutagen	M <sub>2</sub> selections		Proportion of total selections (%)	
	Total	Confirmed in M <sub>3</sub> (%)	M <sub>2</sub> selections	M <sub>3</sub> selections
Gamma rays	189	76.2	76.2	23.8
EI	230	77.5	77.8	22.2
NEU	274	79.9	75.2	24.8

\*\*\*\*\*